

Monetary Economics

Runs on Banks – Theory

Gerald P. Dwyer

March 2021

Outline

- 1 General
- 2 The Technologies
- 3 Consumers' Preferences
- 4 Autarky
- 5 Pareto Optimal Solution
- 6 Banking Solution and Runs on the Banking System
- 7 Risky Technology
- 8 Summary

Runs on Banks

- Virtually all theories of runs on banks are variants of Diamond and Dybvig
- Two classes of theories
 - ▶ Pure panic
 - ▶ Fundamental-based theories
- All empirical evidence points to runs based on fundamentals
- Will just do basic theory
 - ▶ Work through Diamond and Dybvig which is available online
 - ▶ Pure panic

Literature

- Introduction to theory
 - ▶ Franklin Allen and Douglas Gale, *Understanding Financial Crises*, Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2007. Chapters 1-3.
- Surveys of theory and history of banking arrangements
 - ▶ Gerald P. Dwyer and Margarita Samartín, “Theoretical Explanations of Why Banks Promise to Pay Par on Demand.” In *Transparency, Governance and Markets*, edited by Michele Bagella, Leonardo Becchetti and Iftekhar Hasan, pp. 1-20. Amsterdam: Elsevier, 2006.
 - ▶ “Why Do Banks Promise to Pay Par On Demand?”, *Journal of Financial Stability* 5 (June 2009), 147-69.

Readings next class

- William J. Crowder, “The Neo-Fisherian hypothesis: empirical implications and evidence?”

Bank Run

- Literature typically generates bank runs with
 - ▶ Early and late consumers
 - ▶ Illiquid technology
- Similar to, but not identical to Diamond and Dybvig
 - ▶ Diamond and Dybvig generate runs by an deposit (insurance) contract alone
 - ▶ Papers have this too but are more complex and therefore illiquid technology

The Environment

- Three periods
- One period when decisions are made with no consumption $T = 0$
- One period when consumption can occur $T = 1$
- Second period when consumption can occur $T = 2$
- An endowment economy
 - ▶ No production
- Consumers, who get an identical endowment of one unit
- Banks

Technology Available to Consumers

- A technology available to consumers is a storage technology
- One unit of endowment carried over any period yields one unit in the next period
- One unit in period 0 generates one unit in period 1
- One unit in period 1 generates one unit in period 2

Another Technology Available

- A second investment technology available
- One unit of endowment in period 0 can generate
 - ▶ One unit in period 1
 - ▶ $R > 1$ units in period 2
 - ▶ Common in later literature to make this technology available only to banks

Consumers' Preferences

- All consumers are identical in period 0
- Each consumer can be type 1 or type 2
- This is discovered in period 1 and is private information
- Type 1 consumers care only about consumption in period 1, c_1
 - ▶ Early or impatient consumers
 - ▶ Consumers or depositors
- Type 2 consumers care only about consumption in period 2, c_2
 - ▶ Late or patient consumers
 - ▶ Consumers or depositors

Consumers' Preferences

- The utility function for each consumer in period 0 is

$$U(c_1, c_2)$$

with

$$U(c_1, c_2) = u(c_1)$$

if the consumer is of type 1 and

$$U(c_1, c_2) = u(c_2)$$

if the consumer is of type 2 – By assumption, a type 2 late consumer does not care about consumption in period 1

Consumers' Preferences

- Type is private information – not observable
- Suppose that utility function u is twice continuously differentiable everywhere with positive first derivatives and negative second derivatives and

$$u'(0) = \infty \text{ and } u'(\infty) = 0$$

- Relative risk aversion coefficient

$$-cu''(c) / u'(c) > 1$$

- A fraction γ of consumers are type 1 and a fraction $1 - \gamma$ are late consumers
- There is no aggregate uncertainty: a fraction γ always are type 1 and a fraction $1 - \gamma$ are late consumers
 - ▶ Law of large numbers implies realization will equal parameter with an infinite number of consumers, at least in this setup
- Consumers maximize expected utility

$$E U(c_1, c_2) = \gamma u(c_1) + (1 - \gamma) u(c_2)$$

Autarky

- In autarky, a consumer does the best he can with the technology available
- Use investment technology with

$$E U(c_1, c_2) = \gamma u(c_1 = 1) + (1 - \gamma) u(c_2 = R)$$

Pareto Optimal Solution

- Consumers have the expected utility function

$$E U(c_1, c_2) = \gamma u(c_1) + (1 - \gamma) u(c_2)$$

- Maximize expected utility subject to resource constraint
- Resource constraints per capita are

$$\gamma c_1 = L \text{ and } (1 - \gamma) c_2 = (1 - L)R$$

where L is the amount withdrawn from the technology in period 1 for the early consumers

Pareto Optimal Solution

- Consumers have the expected utility function

$$E U(c_1, c_2) = \gamma u(c_1) + (1 - \gamma) u(c_2)$$

- Maximize expected utility subject to resource constraint
- Resource constraints per capita are

$$\gamma c_1 = L \text{ and } (1 - \gamma) c_2 = (1 - L)R$$

where L is the amount withdrawn from the technology in period 1 for the early consumers

- The constraint is

$$(1 - \gamma) c_2 = (1 - L)R$$

Pareto Optimal Solution

- Consumers have the expected utility function

$$E U(c_1, c_2) = \gamma u(c_1) + (1 - \gamma) u(c_2)$$

- Maximize expected utility subject to resource constraint
- Resource constraints per capita are

$$\gamma c_1 = L \text{ and } (1 - \gamma) c_2 = (1 - L)R$$

where L is the amount withdrawn from the technology in period 1 for the early consumers

- The constraint is

$$(1 - \gamma) c_2 = (1 - L)R$$

$$(1 - \gamma) c_2 = (1 - \gamma c_1)R$$

Pareto Optimal Solution

- Consumers have the expected utility function

$$E U(c_1, c_2) = \gamma u(c_1) + (1 - \gamma) u(c_2)$$

- Maximize expected utility subject to resource constraint
- Resource constraints per capita are

$$\gamma c_1 = L \text{ and } (1 - \gamma) c_2 = (1 - L)R$$

where L is the amount withdrawn from the technology in period 1 for the early consumers

- The constraint is

$$(1 - \gamma) c_2 = (1 - L)R$$

$$(1 - \gamma) c_2 = (1 - \gamma c_1)R$$

$$R - R\gamma c_1 - (1 - \gamma) c_2 = 0$$

Pareto Optimal Solution

- Consumers have the expected utility function

$$E U(c_1, c_2) = \gamma u(c_1) + (1 - \gamma) u(c_2)$$

- Maximize expected utility subject to resource constraint
- Resource constraints per capita are

$$\gamma c_1 = L \text{ and } (1 - \gamma) c_2 = (1 - L)R$$

where L is the amount withdrawn from the technology in period 1 for the early consumers

- The constraint is

$$(1 - \gamma) c_2 = (1 - L)R$$

$$(1 - \gamma) c_2 = (1 - \gamma c_1)R$$

$$R - R\gamma c_1 - (1 - \gamma) c_2 = 0$$

In terms of reductions from the original resource value of one

$$1 - \gamma c_1 - R^{-1} (1 - \gamma) c_2 = 0$$

Pareto Optimal Solution

- Maximization of

$$\gamma u(c_1) + (1 - \gamma) u(c_2)$$

subject to

$$R - R\gamma c_1 - (1 - \gamma) c_2 = 0$$

implies that

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma u'(c_1) - \lambda \gamma R &= 0 \\ (1 - \gamma) u'(c_2) - \lambda (1 - \gamma) &= 0\end{aligned}$$

which can be rewritten as

$$u'(c_1) - Ru'(c_2) = 0$$

Pareto Optimal Solution

- Have

$$\begin{aligned}u'(c_1) - Ru'(c_2) &= 0 \\ \frac{u'(c_2)}{u'(c_1)} &= R^{-1}\end{aligned}$$

- $R > 1$ implies

$$\frac{u'(c_2)}{u'(c_1)} < 1$$

- By diminishing marginal utility,

$$c_2 > c_1$$

Pareto Optimal Solution and Autarky

- How are these solutions related to autarky values of 1 and R ?
- Note that

$$u'(c_1) = Ru'(c_2)$$

- Interesting solution will be $c_1 > 1$ and $c_2 < R$
- A necessary condition for $c_1 > 1$ and $c_2 < R$ is that

$$u'(1) > Ru'(R)$$

so that increasing c_1 and reducing c_2 moves the consumptions toward the equilibrium. A sufficient condition is that $cu'(c)$ be decreasing in c , which is equivalent to saying that relative risk aversion is greater than one, i.e.,

$$-cu''(c)/u'(c) > 1$$

- Consumers insure against being early consumers
 - ▶ Receive more than autarky in early period
 - ▶ Receive less in later period but still more than in early period

$$1 < c_1 < c_2 < R$$

Banking Solution

- An infinite number of banks
- No costs of operating bank
 - ▶ Constant returns to scale in investment technology
- Bank can convert one unit of endowment into one unit in period 1 and either
 - ▶ One unit in period 2 using storage technology
 - ▶ $R > 1$ units using the banks' technology
- Perfect competition and no impediment to maximizing the utility of the representative consumer
- Banks implement the consumption allocation in the Pareto optimal solution

A Problem with the Banking Solution

- At $T = 1$, banks pay out $c_1 > 1$
- Suppose that some consumers believe that some other late consumers might withdraw early, i.e. in period 1
 - ▶ Then the amount left in period 2 will be less than the promised consumption
 - ▶ The amount left in period 2 may be less than the amount promised in $T = 1$
- Optimal solution can be to withdraw early
 - ▶ Nothing to prevent a consumer from doing this since being early or late is private information
 - ▶ Sequential service implies that some late consumers get more than one unit in the run
 - ▶ Early consumers who arrive at the bank too late may not get even one unit
- Called a “panic run” because the only reason for withdrawing early is the thought that others might withdraw

Problem with This Simple Model

- Really have shown there are two equilibria
- One equilibrium with banks because everyone believes that everyone else will withdraw by type
- Another equilibrium with no banks in period $T = 0$ because everyone believes that, in period one, some late consumers will withdraw early

Risky Technology

- An example of a risky technology available to banks
- Now, one unit of the second technology yields
 - ▶ 1 unit at $T = 1$
 - ▶ 0 units with probability p and R^h units with probability $1 - p$ at $T = 2$
 - ★ Call outcome at $T = 2$ with 0 the *low state*
 - ★ Call outcome at $T = 2$ with R^h the *high state*
 - ▶ Assume that $E R = p \cdot 0 + (1 - p) \cdot R^h > 1$
- Question of when the result for $T = 2$ is known
- To have a run at $T = 1$, must become known by depositors at $T = 1$
 - ▶ Therefore, outcome for investment at banks becomes known at $T = 1$

Result of Learning in High State

- Events in High State
- Depositors find out that they will receive R^h if they wait
- It is implied by earlier analysis that late consumers will wait
- Therefore, no run

Result of Learning in Low State

- Events in Low State
- Depositors find out that they will receive 0 if they wait
- Each depositor can receive c_1 now because the bank cannot tell the difference between early and late consumers
- Therefore, everyone wants to withdraw at $T = 1$

How Does A Run Work?

- How does a run work?
- Everyone tries to withdraw at $T = 1$ and bank has promised to pay out c_1 units to everyone
- Bank does not have enough assets to pay everyone $c_1 > 1$
- Under assumptions at the moment, banks have enough assets to pay everyone 1
- How handle payments?
 - ▶ Sequential service constraint
 - ★ Some get c_1 units
 - ★ Some get nothing
 - ▶ Suspend payments because bank knows a run will happen
 - ★ All depositors get the same amount, 1

Summary

- The Diamond-Dybvig model is a simple model with a banking system
- The banking system
 - ▶ Takes an asset and produces higher returns by holding it longer
 - ▶ Makes households better off
 - ▶ Is illiquid early on
 - ▶ Is subject to runs

Summary

- The Diamond-Dybvig model is a simple model with a banking system
 - ▶ No credit risk
 - ▶ Run is a “pure panic” run and not fundamental
 - ▶ No moral hazard from deposit insurance

Summary

- There have been many elaborations of this simple model
- Most important: fundamental runs due to a risky technology
- This produces a model with runs and some of issues in real runs
- Also can introduce equity capital into the model
- Also can introduce more time periods